3030

-ITEMPO 0262935 01217572 FRP)

CONFIDENTIAL

FRP: ,2,3, , ,6, ,8

STATE

25X1

83 0262935

PAGE 001 NO TOR: 1217572 JAN 83

NC 0262935

OO RUEALIB ZNY CCCCC ZOC STATE ZZH STU5394 OO RUEHC RUEHDD DE RUFHNA #0230/01 0121744 ZNY CCCCC ZZH 0 121741Z JAN 83 ----FM USMISSION USNATO TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDCHIMMEDIATE 2518 INFO RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE RUEHLD/AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE 3562 RUEHDD/NATO COLLECTIVE PRIORITY EUROPEAN POLADS COLLECTIVE PRIORITY RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 1979 BT C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 00230

SCO

GENEVA FOR USINF

E.O. 12356: DECLE OADR
TAGS: PARM, MNUC, UK, UR, NATO
SUBJ: INF: UK REPORT ON SOVIET DEMARCHE ON GENEVA
- INF NEGOTIATIONS.

REF: (A) USNATO 0156, (B) USNATO 0030

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE

33 0262935 8CO

PAGE 002

NC: 0262935

TOR: 1217572 JAN 83

1. CONFIDENTIAL - ENTIRE TEXT.

BEGIN SUMMARY: UK HAS CIRCULATED TO SCO ALLIES AT NATO A SUMMARY REPORT OF THE CALL BY SOVIET CHARGE IN LONDON ON UK MINISTER OF STATE HURD DECEMBER 21 TO DISCUSS THE THIRD ROUND OF THE GENEVALINE No Negotiations., uk. report, "text of which is below, INDICATES THE SOVIET APPROACH IS ALONG THE LINES OF SIMILAR DEMARCHES REPORTED CARLIER BY THE GERMANS AND BELGIANS (REFTELS). THE SOVIET APPROACH REPORTED BELOW EMPHASIZES (PARA 5) THE NEED TO INCLUDE AJRCRAFT IN THE SINF NEGOTIATIONS AND DOES NOT INCLUDE REFERENCE TO THE SOVIET MISSILE SUB-CEILING OFFER. HOWEVER THIS MAY REFLECT THE FACT THAT THE DEMARCHE TOOK PLACE ON DECEMBER 21, THE SAME DAY ANDROROV WENT PUBLIC WITH THE SUB-CEILING OFFER. UK MINISTER OF STATE HURD, IN REJECTING THE SOVIET POSITION ON INCLUSION OF UK/FRENCH FORCES IN THE NEGOTIATIONS, COMMENTS THAT DURING THE SALT TALKS, THE SOVIETS HAD INSISTED UK/FRENCH NUCLEAR FORCES WERE STRATEGIC AND IT WAS THEREFORE DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND WHY THE SOVIETS, WERE, NOW TRYINGS TO SINCLUDE THEM IN THE SINF NEGOTIATIONS. END SUMMARY.

3. BEGIN UK TEXT:

CALL ON MINISTER OF STATE BY THE SOVIET CHARGE:

PRESENT:

MR. HURD

MR. LAMPORT

MR. FULLER (DEFENCE DEPT.)

MR. DOLGOV INTERPRETER

1. MR. DOLGOV SAID THAT HE HAD BEEN INSTRUCTED TO INFORM THE PRIME MINISTER, THROUGH THE MINISTER, ABOUT

. :

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE

83 0262935 sc

PAGE 003 TOR: 1217572 JAN 83

NC: 0262935

THE ROUND OF INFITALKS WHICH TOOK PLACE BETWEEN 30 SEPTEMBER AND 30 NOVEMBER. HE READ THE FOLLOWING ACCOUNT FROM HIS INSTRUCTIONS.

- 2. THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT CONTINUED TO MAKE THE MOST SERIOUS EFFORTS TO OBTAIN AN INF AGREEMENT. AT THE LAST ROUND OF TALKS THE SOVIET DELEGATION HAD DEVELOPED THEIR PROPOSALS OF 25 MAY AND HAD EXPRESSED READINESS TO CONCLUDE A TREATY BY WHICH SOVIET MEDIUM RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES IN EUROPE WOULD BE REDUCED WITHIN 5 YEARS TO THE LEVEL OF, BRITISH AND FRENCH NUCLEAR FORCES AT THAT TIME. FURTHER REDUCTIONS AFTER THAT DATE WOULD TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE LEVELS OF BRITISH AND FRENCH FORCES. THE OBJECTIVE WAS TO STRENGTHEN EUROPEAN. SECURITY.
- THE AMERICANS HAD FROM THE OUTSET OF THE TALKS
 TRIED TO CAPITALISE ON THEIR ORIGINAL PROPOSALS. THEY
 COULD NO LONGER DO THIS. THE RUSSIANS' PROPOSALS
 WOULD AMOUNT TO REDUCTIONS INVOLVING EXACT PARITY
 BETWEEN NATO AND THE WARSAW PACT IN MEDIUM RANGE
 NUCLEAR FORCES IN EUROPE. THE AMERICANS EVIDENTLY HAD
 NO DESIRE TO REACH THIS SORT OF AGREEMENT AND CONTINUED
 TO DEMAND EQUAL CEILINGS BETWEEN US AND SOVIET FORCES
 WHICH TOOK NO ACCOUNT OF FRENCH AND BRITISH FORCES, I.E.
 THE RUSSIANS WOULD BE REQUIRED TO ELIMINATE THEIR
 MEDIUM RANGE WEAPONS WITHOUT THE AMERICANS MAKING
 ANY REDUCTIONS IN THEIRS. THIS WAS QUITE UNACCEPTABLE
 TO THE SOVIET UNION.
 - 4. THE SOVIET UNION WAS NOT PROPOSING THAT THE FRENCH AND BRITISH SHOULD MAKE REDUCTIONS IN THEIR NUCLEAR FORCES. BUTGIT HAD TO BE ACCEPTED THAT THESE FORCES WERE A COMPONENT PART OF THE EUROPEAN FORCES ON THE NATO SIDE. IT WAS TIME TO LOOK SOBERLY AT THIS ISSUE.

GENEVA FOR USINF

5. THE AMERICANS CONTINUED TO CLAIM THAT IT WAS TOO DIFFICULT TO AGREE ON REDUCTIONS OF NUCLEAR CAPABLE

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE

83 0262935

PAGE 004 TOR: 1217572 JAN 83

NC 0262935

4

1 . 1 .

AIRCRAFT. THIS CLAIM WAS UNFOUNDED. PREVIOUS US/USSR AGREEMENTS SHOWED IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIMIT AIR FORCES. THE US PREOCCUPATION WITH MISSILES PROVED THAT THEY WERE INTENTION AGREEING REDUCTIONS ONLY ON THE SOVIET SIDE AND NOT THEIR OWN. THE ARGUMENT THAT SOME OF THEIR - AIRCRAFTIN EUROPE WERE DUAL CAPABLE DID NOT STAND UP; AN AIRCRAFT WHICH WAS NUCLEAR CAPABLE REMAINED SUCH WHATEVER ITS OTHER ROLES. THE EUROPEAN ALLIES ALSO HAD NUCLEAR CAPABLE AIRCRAFF. THE AMERICANS! STAND ON THIS ISSUE WAS A FURTHER DEMONSTRATION OF THEIR LACK OF WILLINGNESS TO REACH AN AGREEMENT. THEY CONTINUED TO TRY TO PROVE THAT THERE WAS AN IMBALANCE IN MEDIUM RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES IN EUROPE WHICH FAVOURED THE SOVIET UNION. BUTGIT WAS DEMONSTRABLE THAT A BALANCE EXISTED. THE AMERICANS WERE NOW TRYING TO INCLUDE SOVIET SHURT RANGE AIRCRAFT IN INFOREDUCTIONS. STHERE WAS NO LOGIC IN THIS. THE AMERICANS WOULD NOT INCLUDE THEIR MISSILES WITH A RANGE OF LESS THAN 1,000 KM; NEITHER WOULD THEY ALLOW REDUCTIONS IN THEIR OWN SHORT RANGE AIRCRAFT.

THE AMERICANS DEMANDED THAT INF REDUCTIONS SHOULD BE GLOBAL. BUT THIS WAS NOT THE MOST RAPID AND EFFECTIVE I-WAY TO ATTAIN THE OBJECTIVE OF THE NEGOTIATION. IT WAS THE PROBLEMS IN EUROPE WHICH WERE SPECIALLY URGENT AND IMMEDIATE. (HERE MR. DOLGOV REFERRED TO THE POSSIBILITIES OF MANOTHER CIRCLE OF PARTICIPANTS"). DURING THE INF NEGOTIATIONS THE AMERICANS HAD MADE NOT ONE STEP FORWARD FROM THEIR ORIGINAL POSITION. THE ZERO OPTION WAS UNREALISTICHAND TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE TO THE SOVIET UNION. BY STICKING TO IT THE AMERICANS PROVED THAT THEY WERE NOT SERIOUS IN THEIR DESIRE FOR THE TALKS TO BE SUCCESSFUL: THEY WANTED ONLY TO DEPLOY THEIR MEDIUM BANGE NUCLEAR FORCES IN EUROPE. AGREEMENT MUST BE ON THE BASIS OF EQUALITY AND EQUAL SECURITY. AMERICAN INSISTENCE ON THE ZERO OPTION WAS LEADING TO FRUITLESS MARKING OF TIMEDAT THE TALKS. THE SOVIET UNION WISHED BRITAIN TO DRAW RELEVANT CONCLUSIONS.

7. MR. HURD THANKED MR. DOLGOV FOR A LONG AND CLEAR

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE

83 0262935

SCO

PAGE 005 ..TOR: 0121757Z.JAN.83. NC 0262935

ANALYSIS OF THE SOVIET POSITION. IT WAS A PITY, IF PERHAPS INEVITABLE, THAT THERE SHOULD BE PUBLIC CONFRONTATION BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES AT THE SAME TIME AS THEIR PRIVATE CONSULTATIONS. THE INF NEGOTIATIONS WERE SERIOUS IF SLOW. ON THE INFORMATION HE HAD RECEIVED WE WERE LESS PESSIMISTIC THAN THE SOVIET PUBLIC POSITION WOULD SUGGESTS. THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT DID NOT DESPAIR OF A SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME TO THE TALKS.

- MR. HURD DREW MR. DOLGOVAS ATTENTION TO HIS OWN STATEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS ON 15 DECEMBER ON THE QUESTION OF THE EXCLUSION OF BRITISH AND FRENCH NUCLEAR FORCES FROM THE NEGOTIATIONS, IT WAS FALSE TO TRY TO EQUATE THE SOVIET LAND BASED MEDIUM RANGE MISSILES WITH THE BRITISH AND FRENCH STRATEGIC FORCES. THE RUSSIANS HAD, DURING THE SALT I NEGOTEATIONS, INSISTED THAT THESE FORCES WERE STRATEGIC. BRITAIN AGREED WITH THIS. IT WOULD NOT BE EASILY UNDERSTOOD IN BRITAIN WHY THE RUSSIANS WERE TRYING TO BRING THESE FORCES INTO THE INF EQUATION.
- MR. HURD REAFETRMED THAT BRITAIN WAS ANXIOUS THAT THE INF TALKS SHOULD CONTINUE ON A SERIOUS BASIS. THERE --- WERE PRIZES OF ENORMOUS IMPORTANCE TO BOTH SIDES. BRINGIN WOULD USE WHATEVER INFLUENCE IT HAD TO BRING ABOUT A SERIOUS CONCLUSION TO THE TALKS. THE ANALYSIS PUT FORWARD BY MR. DOLGOY WOULD BE STUDIED CAREFULLY AND WE WOULD TAKE UP ANY FURTHER POINTS ARISING FROM IT.
 - MR. DOLGOV_SAID THAT HIS CALL WAS PART OF A CONTINUING Series of Briefings as the Talks progressed. The Commented THAT IT WAS NOT THE SOVIET SIDE THAT PUBLICISED CONFIDENTIAL BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS.

END TEXT. BENNETT

END OF MESSAGE

直升 50%

MESSAGE